

Feb 6 2019

4. CSU 2019-0002

- 5145 Coors - renewal for trucks.
- 15 years

5 CSU 2019-0001 -

location

- land uses - buildings
 - multi family -
- Joe - This is multi family

- add a condition 14 -

- meets criteria for approval
- meets density requirement
- not in agricultural area

Joelle - density? is not ⁱⁿ agrie area - HOA
is it apartments

Nick - PDA defunct / clustering

Joe - could be rented -

Nick - A-1 - could comply w/ density

Applicant -

'co-housing'

Motives - houses are smaller

- agriculture, sharing of houses
will become neighbours

- also had # associations

met - many neighbours

many spread settlement - shown on map ^{Many in area of}
^{site} concern of low income, farm.

reduced # dwellings from 33 to 27

Architect - will further goals of comp plan & result

Pro Grade House - has been vacant, ~~so~~ under repair

- will preserve bogger character, restore agricultural

- is in area 5 - up to 9 du

- is a form of cluster

62% is urban

34 acre land

- will be a multigenerational living -

comp plan -

- # 2 story buildings in area

Architect - add more farming on site -

- will rely on recycled water -

- will preserve the existing trees

will replace metal fence w/ coyote fence -
will be more open

Discussions

Joe - how could this be allowed if it is A-1
Nick - plan allows the density.

Public Comment

Rod - Joe how many meetings? Two meetings.

Vacunas del Bosque has been involved over the years

area has experienced a lot -

a place & another property to north - we want -

Association - is opposed -

- doesn't provide a sense of place?

- is a remaining site of A-1

- density is a concern

- would be harmful

- traffic corridor is marginal -

- completed petition results

Public

J. concern w/ fire department

~~Fire~~ trucks may not access

concern w/ limited access

Dory - protect the landscape, farmland - A-1
doesn't fit w/

would destabilize env

is a rural area - there are strict terms

This would destroy peace - the forest

create worse traffic

will destroy the environment - will affect the wildlife -

road - is improved - feeds into sunset
there already is traffic

Support - value of smaller homes - increase efficiency

Shorty - is a model of urban housing, will enhance the landscape, better

fire homeowner - had family issues - co-housing will be supportive

Opposition - concern of changes to neighborhood -
is becoming gentrified

don't have water rights - may not have water
will affect Rio Grande area

land value taxes

Support - UNM professor -

✓ cohousing will reduce grey-water, chickens & commercial
energy efficient

Opposition - ~~A Vecinos assoc~~
site can be farmed / could displace families
change the neighborhood

- Comp plan - protect agri

- don't want development ~~is~~ of agri properties
submitted 800 letters - Alliance
include opposition of senator
will affect a working class community

Dickson
Marcense - lives in co-housing -

this would be affordable, incorporate agriculture,
energy efficiency to save funds

Mayor Keller - has submitted
includes letter from Mayor

(break)

Patty Lenzen - supports - live in NE - wants to live
with people - will have as little as possible traffic,
will be good neighbors

opponent - dev is ashaw. will cause crime & drugs -
new people coming into neighborhood

supporter - support businesses

opponent - Gonzalez - preserve agricultural character of area
moved to this area - land needs to be protected -
don't want condos in neighborhood

1407 Gonzales - is changing to oppose
will change the character of area - will destroy the valley

Karen Loring

Support

realtor - will have special features - shared -
will be less than median price -

supporters -

- future homeowners - would like to live there
- will preserve the environment.

supporter from Gonzales

other supporters - future homeowners

supporters of cohousing
will allow people to move into area /
ancestors are from area .

will restore agriculture

bicycle use / less parking / access to trail

people are fearful of change - is a great idea
will fight against crime,
create stewardship

Agricultural cooperative — is in opposition
should locate in their own area — aren't involved in regular

support — has lived in such a community
doesn't need to walk, has a garden, orchard
is a reasonable development, is positive

Property owners — feels they are an asset → ^{rejected} several offers.
Lives nearby on Gonzales — will be good for neighborhood
doesn't understand why people oppose it.

maybe

1407 — two properties at 1 address.

S. Cone, Farmington —

A Leopold-established State Park —

disregards the Land ethic — need to respect the open space

— Opponent — delay

need to show proof — hasn't met the Comp Plan

— policies for agriculture

— application — is for 'cohousing'

— would be a 'spot zone'
will submit —

Attorney for applicant — is not a spot zone

Closing —

— staff — consistent w/ plans

— consistent w/ PDA

— consistency required site

Blaine
— Gonzales - meets -
— sunset project - info more

Joe
water & sewer
Drainage

Connie
— # dwellings under A-1

Johnny
/ multi family ? nearly — / no not nearly
per harsy dev.

JH motion to approve

Joe opposed to Condos:

Johnny